

Weak-field limit of Kaluza-Klein models with spherical compactification I: problematic aspects

Alexey Chopovsky, Maxim Eingorn and Alexander Zhuk

Astronomical Observatory and Department of Theoretical Physics, Odessa National University, Street Dvoryanskaya 2, Odessa 65082, Ukraine

E-mail: alexey.chopovsky@gmail.com, maxim.eingorn@gmail.com and ai_zhuk2@rambler.ru

Abstract. We investigate classical gravitational tests for the Kaluza-Klein model with spherical compactification of the internal two-dimensional space. In the case of the absence of a multidimensional bare cosmological constant, the only matter which corresponds to the proposed metric ansatz is a perfect fluid with the vacuum equation of state in the external space and the dust-like equation of state in the internal space. We perturb this background by a compact massive source with the dust-like equation of state in both external and internal spaces (e.g., a point-like mass), and obtain the metric coefficients in the weak-field approximation. It enables to calculate the parameterized post-Newtonian parameter γ . We demonstrate that $\gamma = 1/3$ which strongly contradicts the observations.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.50.Cd, 04.80.Cc, 11.25.Mj

1. Introduction

Any physical theory is correct until it does not conflict with the experimental data. Obviously, the Kaluza-Klein model is no exception to this rule. There is a number of well-known gravitational experiments in the solar system, e.g., the deflection of light, the perihelion shift and the time delay of the radar echoes (the Shapiro time-delay effect). In the weak-field limit, all these effects can be expressed via parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters β and γ [1, 2]. These parameters take different values in different gravitational theories. There are strict experimental restrictions on these parameters [3, 4, 5, 6]. The tightest constraint on γ comes from the Shapiro time-delay experiment using the Cassini spacecraft: $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$. General Relativity is in good agreement with all gravitational experiments [7]. Here, the PPN parameters $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. The Kaluza-Klein model should also be tested by the above-mentioned experiments.

In our previous papers [8, 9, 10] we have investigated this problem in the case of toroidal compactification of internal spaces. We have supposed that in the absence of gravitating masses the metrics is a flat one. Gravitating compact objects (point-like masses or extended massive bodies) perturb this metrics, and we have considered these perturbations in the weak-field approximation. First, we have shown that in the case of three-dimensional external/our space and dust-like equations of state[‡] in the external and internal spaces, the PPN parameter $\gamma = 1/(D - 2)$, where D is a total number of spatial dimensions. Obviously, $D = 3$ (i.e. the General Relativity case) is the only value which does not contradict the observations [8]. Second, in papers [9, 10], we have investigated the exact soliton solutions. In these solutions a gravitating source is uniformly smeared over the internal space and the non-relativistic gravitational potential exactly coincides with the Newtonian one. Here, we have found a class of solutions which are indistinguishable from General Relativity. We have called such solutions latent solitons. Black strings and black branes belong to this class. They have the dust-like equation of state $p_0 = 0$ in the external space and the relativistic equation of state $p_1 = -\varepsilon/2$ in the internal space. It is known (see [10, 11]) that in the case of three-dimensional external space with a dust-like perfect fluid, this combination of equations of state in the external and internal spaces does not spoil the internal space stabilization. Moreover, we have shown also that the number $d_0 = 3$ of the external dimensions is unique. Therefore, there is no problem for black strings and black branes to satisfy the gravitational experiments in the solar system at the same level of accuracy as General Relativity. However, the main problem with the black strings/branes is to find a physically reasonable mechanism which can explain how the ordinary particles forming the astrophysical objects can acquire rather specific equations of state $p_i = -\varepsilon/2$ (tension!) in the internal spaces. Thus, in the case of toroidal compactification, on the one hand we arrive at the contradiction with the experimental data for the physically reasonable gravitating source in the form of a point-like mass, on the other hand we have no problem with the experiments for black strings/branes but arrive at very strange equation of state in the internal space. How common is this problem for the Kaluza-Klein models?

To understand it, in the present paper we investigate a model with spherical compactification of the internal space. Therefore, in contrast to the previous case the

[‡] Such equations of state take place in the external and internal spaces for a point-like mass at rest. For ordinary astrophysical extended objects, e.g., for the Sun, it is also usually assumed that the energy density is much greater than pressure.

background metrics is not flat but has a topology $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$. To make the internal space curved, we must introduce a background matter. We show that in the case of the absence of a bare six-dimensional cosmological constant, the only matter which corresponds to this background metrics is the one which simulates a perfect fluid with the vacuum equation of state in the flat external space and the dust-like equation of state in the curved internal space. To get the PPN parameters in this model, we perturb the background metrics and matter by a compact gravitating massive object with the dust-like equation of state in the external and internal spaces (e.g., a point-like mass). Our investigation shows that we arrive at the same conclusions as in the case of the toroidal compactification, e.g., the PPN parameter $\gamma = 1/3$ which exactly coincides with the formula $\gamma = 1/(D-2)$ for $D = 5$. Obviously, this value contradicts the observations.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we get the background matter corresponding to the background metric ansatz. Then we perturb this background by the massive compact object with the dust-like equations of state and obtain the perturbed metric coefficients. It gives us a possibility to calculate the PPN parameter γ in section 3. The main results are summarized in section 4. In appendixes A and B we present formulae for the components of the Ricci tensor and, with the help of them, investigate the relations between the perturbed metric coefficients.

2. Background solution and perturbations

To start with, let us consider a factorizable six-dimensional static background metrics

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 - a^2(d\xi^2 + \sin^2 \xi d\eta^2) \quad (2.1)$$

which is defined on a product manifold $M = M_4 \times M_2$. M_4 describes external four-dimensional flat space-time and M_2 corresponds to the two-dimensional internal space which is a sphere with the radius (the internal space scale factor) a . Now, we want to define the form of the energy-momentum tensor of matter which corresponds to this geometry. In contrast to the models in [8, 9, 10] with toroidal compactification where the external and internal background metrics are flat and there is no need for the matter to create such a flat background, in the present paper, we need such a bare matter to make a curved internal space. Obviously, this form is defined by the Einstein equation

$$\kappa T_{ik} = R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ik}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\kappa \equiv 2S_5 \tilde{G}_6 / c^4$. Here, $S_5 = 2\pi^{5/2} / \Gamma(5/2) = 8\pi^2/3$ is the total solid angle (the surface area of the four-dimensional sphere of a unit radius) and \tilde{G}_6 is the gravitational constant in the six-dimensional space-time.

As it can be easily seen from appendix A, the only nonzero components of the Ricci tensor for the metrics (2.1) are $R_{44} = 1$ and $R_{55} = \sin^2 \xi$, and the scalar curvature is $R = -2/a^2$. Therefore, the energy-momentum tensor satisfies the following condition:

$$T_{ik} = \begin{cases} (1/(\kappa a^2)) g_{ik} & \text{for } i, k = 0, \dots, 3; \\ 0 & \text{for } i, k = 4, 5. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Clearly, such matter can be simulated by a perfect fluid with the vacuum equation of state in the external space and the dust-like equation of state in the internal space. It is convenient to introduce the following notation: $\Lambda_4 \equiv 1/(\kappa a^2)$. Because of the

flatness of the external space-time in (2.1), an effective four-dimensional cosmological constant [12, 13] $\Lambda_{(4)eff}$ should be equal to zero. Indeed, it is not difficult to verify that it takes place in the considered case.

Now, we want to perturb this background by a point-like mass. It is well known that a point-like massive source is a good physical approximation in four-dimensional space-time to calculate the classical gravitational tests [7]. These calculations show that General Relativity is in good agreement with observational data. We intend to get the corresponding formulae in the case of the six-dimensional background metrics (2.1) in the presence of the background matter (2.3), and to compare the obtained results with the known observational data. To perform it, we perturb our background ansatz by a static point-like massive source with non-relativistic rest mass density $\epsilon\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$. We introduce an infinitesimal prefactor ϵ to keep during calculations the corresponding orders of perturbations. At the end of calculations this parameter should be set equal to unity. It is worth noting that we take into account the point-like nature of the matter source only for the calculation of the non-relativistic gravitational potential (see the next section). In the present section we do not specify the concrete form of $\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$. In this general case, we assume that the dust-like (i.e. pressure is much less than energy density) massive source with the rest mass density ρ represents a static compact astrophysical object. There are two separate cases. In the first case, the matter source is uniformly smeared over the internal space. Here, multidimensional ρ and three-dimensional ρ_3 rest mass densities are connected as follows: $\rho = \rho_3(\mathbf{r}_3)/(4\pi a^2)$. In the case of a point-like mass m , $\rho_3(r_3) = m\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)$, where $r_3 = |\mathbf{r}_3| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. In the second case (without smearing), the rest mass density is a function of all five spatial coordinates. In the present paper we consider mainly the latter case.

For the perturbed metrics we choose the metric ansatz in the form

$$ds^2 = Ac^2dt^2 + Bdx^2 + Cdy^2 + Ddz^2 + Ed\xi^2 + Fd\eta^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where the metric coefficients A, B, C, D, E and F are functions of all spatial coordinates, e.g., $A = A(\mathbf{r}_5)$. We also suppose that, up to corrections of the first order in ϵ , the metric coefficients read

$$\begin{aligned} A &\approx A^0 + \epsilon A^1(\mathbf{r}_5), & B &\approx B^0 + \epsilon B^1(\mathbf{r}_5), & C &\approx C^0 + \epsilon C^1(\mathbf{r}_5), \\ D &\approx D^0 + \epsilon D^1(\mathbf{r}_5), & E &\approx E^0 + \epsilon E^1(\mathbf{r}_5), & F &\approx F^0 + \epsilon F^1(\mathbf{r}_5), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the metric coefficients A^0, B^0, C^0, D^0, E^0 and F^0 are defined by the background metrics (2.1):

$$A^0 = 1, \quad B^0 = C^0 = D^0 = -1, \quad E^0 = -a^2, \quad F^0 = E^0 \sin^2 \xi. \quad (2.6)$$

We suppose that the perturbed metrics preserves its diagonal form. Obviously, the off-diagonal coefficients $g_{0\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 5$, are absent for the static metrics. It is also clear that in the case of uniformly smeared (over the internal space) perturbation, all metric coefficients depend only on x, y, z (see, e.g., [14]), and the metric structure of the internal space does not change, i.e. $F = E \sin^2 \xi$. It is not difficult to show, that in this case the spatial part of the external metrics can be diagonalized by coordinate transformations. Moreover, if we additionally assume the spherical symmetry of the perturbation with respect to the external space, then all metric coefficients depend on r_3 and $B(r_3) = C(r_3) = D(r_3)$. Taking into account all these arguments, we suppose that the diagonal form is preserved also for an arbitrary distribution $\epsilon\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$, and we show below that Einstein's equations have solution for the given metric ansatz.

Now, for the metric ansatz (2.4), we want to solve the Einstein equation (2.2) which we rewrite in the form

$$R_{ik} = \kappa \left(T_{ik} - \frac{1}{4} T g_{ik} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

The energy-momentum tensor consists of two parts:

$$T_{ki} = \tilde{T}_{ki} + \hat{T}_{ki}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, \tilde{T}_{ki} is the energy-momentum tensor of the perturbed background matter (2.3) and \hat{T}_{ki} is the energy-momentum tensor of the perturbation. In the non-relativistic approximation the only nonzero component of the latter tensor is $\hat{T}_0^0 \approx \epsilon \rho(\mathbf{r}_5) c^2$ and up to linear in ϵ terms $\hat{T}_{00} \approx \epsilon \rho(\mathbf{r}_5) c^2$. Concerning the energy momentum tensor of the background matter, we suppose that perturbation does not change the equations of state in the external and internal spaces. For example, if we had dust in the internal space before the perturbation, the same equation of state should be preserved after the perturbation. The vacuum equation of state in the external space should be also preserved. Here, the perturbation results in the appearance of a small fluctuation: $\Lambda_4 \rightarrow \Lambda_4 + \epsilon \Lambda_4^{(1)}$. Therefore, up to the first order correction terms, the nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor read

$$T_{00} \approx \frac{1}{\kappa a^2} + \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\kappa a^2} A^1 + \rho c^2 + \Lambda_4^{(1)} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

$$T_{ii} \approx -\frac{1}{\kappa a^2} + \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\kappa a^2} B_i - \Lambda_4^{(1)} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.10)$$

where $B_1 \equiv B^1$, $B_2 \equiv C^1$ and $B_3 \equiv D^1$. The trace of total energy-momentum tensor is

$$T = T_i^i \approx \frac{4}{\kappa a^2} + \epsilon \left(\rho c^2 + 4 \Lambda_4^{(1)} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, the diagonal components of the Einstein equation (2.7) up to linear in ϵ terms read:

$$R_{00} \approx \epsilon \frac{3}{4} \kappa \rho c^2, \quad (2.12)$$

$$R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} \approx \epsilon \frac{1}{4} \kappa \rho c^2, \quad (2.13)$$

$$R_{44} \approx 1 - \epsilon \left(\frac{E^1}{a^2} - \kappa \Lambda_4^{(1)} a^2 - \frac{a^2}{4} \kappa \rho c^2 \right), \quad (2.14)$$

$$R_{55} \approx \sin^2 \xi - \epsilon \left(\frac{F^1}{a^2} - \kappa \Lambda_4^{(1)} a^2 \sin^2 \xi - \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \xi}{4} \kappa \rho c^2 \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Taking into account (A1), we can write the 00-component as follows:

$$\Delta_3 A^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} A^1 = \frac{3}{2} \kappa \rho c^2. \quad (2.16)$$

All off-diagonal components of the Einstein equation (2.7) are equal to zero: $R_{ik} = 0$, $i \neq k$. Therefore, we can use the results of appendix B. Then, all three components 11, 22 and 33 are reduced to one equation

$$\Delta_3 B^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} B^1 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho c^2, \quad (2.17)$$

where we use expressions (A3)-(A5) and relations (B1). Hence, the metric coefficients A^1 and B^1 are related as follows: $A^1 = 3B^1$, i.e. it is the case 2 of appendix B.

It is not difficult to verify with the help of (B13), (B15) and (B16) that 44 and 55 components are reduced to one equation

$$\Delta_3 E^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} E^1 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \rho c^2 a^2 + 2\kappa \Lambda_4^{(1)} a^2 - \frac{2}{a^2} E^1. \quad (2.18)$$

From the system of equations (2.16)-(2.18) and the relation (B9) (which is also valid in the case 2) we can conclude that

$$\kappa \Lambda_4^{(1)} = \frac{E^1}{a^4} \quad (2.19)$$

and equation (2.18) reads

$$\Delta_3 E^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} E^1 = \frac{a^2}{2} \kappa \rho c^2. \quad (2.20)$$

3. Parameterized post-Newtonian parameter γ : a contradiction to observations

Now let us solve the equation (2.16). Denoting $A^1 \equiv 2\varphi/c^2$, we obtain the Poisson equation:

$$\Delta_3 \varphi + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} \varphi = S_5 G_6 \rho(\mathbf{r}_5), \quad (3.1)$$

where $G_6 = 3\tilde{G}_6/2$. In the case of toroidal compactification, we have $G_{\mathcal{D}} = [2(D-2)/(D-1)]\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$ where $\mathcal{D} = D+1$ is an arbitrary number of space-time dimensions [8]. So, our particular formula follows from this general relation for $\mathcal{D} = 6$. It is not difficult to verify that in the case of a point-like mass m with $\rho = m\delta(\mathbf{r}_5)$, the equation (3.1) has the following solution (see also [15]):

$$\varphi = -\frac{S_5 G_6}{4\pi a^2} \frac{m}{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l Y_{lm}^*(\xi_0, \eta_0) Y_{lm}(\xi, \eta) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}{a} r_3\right), \quad (3.2)$$

where ξ_0, η_0 denote the position of the source on the two-dimensional sphere (without loss of generality we can choose $\xi_0 = 0, \eta_0 = 0$) and Y_{lm} are Laplace's spherical harmonics. Obviously, in the limit $r_3 \rightarrow +\infty$, the non-relativistic gravitational potential should coincide with the Newtonian one:

$$\varphi(r_3 \rightarrow +\infty) \rightarrow -\frac{G_N m}{r_3}, \quad (3.3)$$

where G_N is the Newtonian gravitational constant. Taking into account that the zero Kaluza-Klein mode $l = 0, m = 0$ gives the main contribution in (3.2) for $r_3 \rightarrow +\infty$ and that $Y_{00} = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$, we get the relation between six-dimensional and Newtonian gravitational constants

$$\frac{S_5 G_6}{4\pi a^2} = 4\pi G_N \quad (3.4)$$

which exactly coincides with the corresponding relation in the case of toroidal compactification for $\mathcal{D} = 6$ and the internal space volume $V_2 = 4\pi a^2$ (see, e.g., the equation (20) in [9]).

Therefore, the perturbation A^1 of the 00 metric coefficient reads

$$A^1 = -4\pi \frac{r_g}{r_3} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l Y_{lm}^*(\xi_0, \eta_0) Y_{lm}(\xi, \eta) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}{a} r_3\right), \quad (3.5)$$

where the gravitational radius $r_g = 2G_N m/c^2$. Perturbations of other metric coefficients can be found with the help of relations (B13). Obviously, the radius of the astrophysical objects, such as the Sun, is much larger than the compactification scale of the internal space: $R_3 \gg a$. Then, for $r_3 \gtrsim R_3$ we can limit ourselves to the zero mode in (3.5). Therefore, at these distances the metrics (2.4) reads

$$ds^2 \approx \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r_3}\right) c^2 dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_g}{r_3}\right) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) - a^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{r_g}{r_3}\right) (d\xi^2 + \sin^2 \xi d\eta^2) . \quad (3.6)$$

In the case of the matter source which is uniformly smeared over the internal space (a particular example of the case 2 in appendix B), we have $\rho(\mathbf{r}_5) = m\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)/(4\pi a^2)$, and the equation (3.1) is reduced to the ordinary three-dimensional Poisson equation $\Delta_3 \varphi = 4\pi G_N m\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)$ with the solution $\varphi = -G_N m/r_3 \rightarrow A^1 = -r_g/r_3$.

It can be easily seen from the expression (3.6) (see [1, 2]), that the parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameter γ reads

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{3} . \quad (3.7)$$

The tightest constraint on γ comes from the Shapiro time-delay experiment using the Cassini spacecraft: $\gamma - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$ [3, 4, 5, 6]. Obviously, the PPN parameter γ (3.7) does not satisfy this restriction.

It is worth noting that in the case of toroidal compactification we get $\gamma = 1/(D-2)$ [8] which results exactly in our formula (3.7) for $D = 5$. Therefore, spherical compactification with considered background matter (2.3) does not save the situation with the point-like massive source. Similar to the case of toroidal compactification, we also come to a contradiction to the observations. The metrics (B14) indicates that the same conclusion must also occur in the case of compact astrophysical objects (not necessarily point-like) with dust-like equations of state in the external and internal spaces and an arbitrary distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$. It can be easily seen that in this general case the ratio $B^1/A^1 = 1/3$ for $\forall \varphi$. However, to satisfy the experimental constraints, this ratio should be very close to 1, namely $1 + (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$. Therefore, there is a common feature among the model with toroidal compactification and the present model with spherical compactification which leads to a contradiction with the observations.

4. Conclusion

In our paper we investigated classical gravitational tests for the Kaluza-Klein model with spherical compactification of the internal space. The external spacetime is flat. We supposed that a multidimensional bare cosmological constant is absent. In this case, the only matter which corresponds to proposed metric ansatz is the one which can be simulated by a perfect fluid with the vacuum equation of state in the external space and the dust-like equation of state in the internal space. We perturbed this background by a compact massive source with the dust-like equation of state in both spaces. In the weak-field limit, the perturbed metric coefficients were found as a solution of the system of Einstein equations. It enabled to calculate the PPN parameter γ . We found that for our model $\gamma = 1/3$ which strongly contradicts the observations. The similar situation takes place for models with toroidal compactification. We think

that it happens because in both of these types of models the internal spaces are not stabilized. The second part of our research will be devoted to solving this problem. We shall see that our guess is correct and in the case of stabilized internal spaces considered models can be in agreement with observations.

Acknowledgments

We want to thank Prof. E.K. Loginov for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the "Cosmomicrophysics" programme of the Physics and Astronomy Division of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

5. Appendix A: Components of the Ricci tensor

In this appendix we consider the six-dimensional space-time metrics of the form of (2.4):

$$ds^2 = Ac^2 dt^2 + Bdx^2 + Cdy^2 + Ddz^2 + Ed\xi^2 + Fd\eta^2,$$

where the metric coefficients A, B, C, D, E and F satisfy the decomposition (2.5). Now, we define the corresponding components of the Ricci tensor up to linear in ϵ terms.

5.1. Diagonal components

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= \frac{B_t}{4c^2 B} \left(-\frac{2B_{tt}}{B_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} + \frac{B_t}{B} \right) + \frac{A_x}{4B} \left(-\frac{2A_{xx}}{A_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} - \frac{C_x}{C} - \frac{D_x}{D} - \frac{E_x}{E} - \frac{F_x}{F} + \frac{A_x}{A} \right) \\ &+ \frac{C_t}{4c^2 C} \left(-\frac{2C_{tt}}{C_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} + \frac{C_t}{C} \right) + \frac{A_y}{4C} \left(-\frac{2A_{yy}}{A_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} - \frac{B_y}{B} - \frac{D_y}{D} - \frac{E_y}{E} - \frac{F_y}{F} + \frac{A_y}{A} \right) \\ &+ \frac{D_t}{4c^2 D} \left(-\frac{2D_{tt}}{D_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} + \frac{D_t}{D} \right) + \frac{A_z}{4D} \left(-\frac{2A_{zz}}{A_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} - \frac{B_z}{B} - \frac{C_z}{C} - \frac{E_z}{E} - \frac{F_z}{F} + \frac{A_z}{A} \right) \\ &+ \frac{E_t}{4c^2 E} \left(-\frac{2E_{tt}}{E_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} + \frac{E_t}{E} \right) + \frac{A_\xi}{4E} \left(-\frac{2A_{\xi\xi}}{A_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} - \frac{B_\xi}{B} - \frac{C_\xi}{C} - \frac{D_\xi}{D} - \frac{F_\xi}{F} + \frac{A_\xi}{A} \right) \\ &+ \frac{F_t}{4c^2 F} \left(-\frac{2F_{tt}}{F_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} + \frac{F_t}{F} \right) + \frac{A_\eta}{4F} \left(-\frac{2A_{\eta\eta}}{A_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} - \frac{B_\eta}{B} - \frac{C_\eta}{C} - \frac{D_\eta}{D} - \frac{E_\eta}{E} + \frac{A_\eta}{A} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[\Delta_3 A^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} A^1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

Here, indexes denote the corresponding partial derivatives (e.g., $A_x \equiv \partial A / \partial x$) and we introduce the Laplace operators:

$$\Delta_3 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}, \quad \Delta_{\xi\eta} \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\cos \xi}{\sin \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \xi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}. \quad (A2)$$

For R_{11} , R_{22} and R_{33} we obtain respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \frac{A_x}{4A} \left(-\frac{2A_{xx}}{A_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} + \frac{A_x}{A} \right) + \frac{B_t}{4c^2 A} \left(-\frac{2B_{tt}}{B_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} - \frac{C_t}{C} - \frac{D_t}{D} - \frac{E_t}{E} - \frac{F_t}{F} + \frac{B_t}{B} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{C_x}{4C} \left(-\frac{2C_{xx}}{C_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} + \frac{C_x}{C} \right) + \frac{B_y}{4C} \left(-\frac{2B_{yy}}{B_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} - \frac{A_y}{A} - \frac{D_y}{D} - \frac{E_y}{E} - \frac{F_y}{F} + \frac{B_y}{B} \right) \\
& + \frac{D_x}{4D} \left(-\frac{2D_{xx}}{D_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} + \frac{D_x}{D} \right) + \frac{B_z}{4D} \left(-\frac{2B_{zz}}{B_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} - \frac{A_z}{A} - \frac{C_z}{C} - \frac{E_z}{E} - \frac{F_z}{F} + \frac{B_z}{B} \right) \\
& + \frac{E_x}{4E} \left(-\frac{2E_{xx}}{E_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} + \frac{E_x}{E} \right) + \frac{B_\xi}{4E} \left(-\frac{2B_{\xi\xi}}{B_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} - \frac{A_\xi}{A} - \frac{C_\xi}{C} - \frac{D_\xi}{D} - \frac{F_\xi}{F} + \frac{B_\xi}{B} \right) \\
& + \frac{F_x}{4F} \left(-\frac{2F_{xx}}{F_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} + \frac{F_x}{F} \right) + \frac{B_\eta}{4F} \left(-\frac{2B_{\eta\eta}}{B_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} - \frac{A_\eta}{A} - \frac{C_\eta}{C} - \frac{D_\eta}{D} - \frac{E_\eta}{E} + \frac{B_\eta}{B} \right) \\
& \approx -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[-\Delta_3 B^1 + \left(A^1 + B^1 - C^1 - D^1 - \frac{E^1}{a^2} - \frac{F^1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} \right)_{xx} - \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} B^1 \right], \quad (\text{A3})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{22} \\
& = \frac{A_y}{4A} \left(-\frac{2A_{yy}}{A_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} + \frac{A_y}{A} \right) + \frac{C_t}{4c^2 A} \left(-\frac{2C_{tt}}{C_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} - \frac{B_t}{B} - \frac{D_t}{D} - \frac{E_t}{E} - \frac{F_t}{F} + \frac{C_t}{C} \right) \\
& + \frac{B_y}{4B} \left(-\frac{2B_{yy}}{B_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} + \frac{B_y}{B} \right) + \frac{C_x}{4B} \left(-\frac{2C_{xx}}{C_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} - \frac{A_x}{A} - \frac{D_x}{D} - \frac{E_x}{E} - \frac{F_x}{F} + \frac{C_x}{C} \right) \\
& + \frac{D_y}{4D} \left(-\frac{2D_{yy}}{D_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} + \frac{D_y}{D} \right) + \frac{C_z}{4D} \left(-\frac{2C_{zz}}{C_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} - \frac{A_z}{A} - \frac{B_z}{B} - \frac{E_z}{E} - \frac{F_z}{F} + \frac{C_z}{C} \right) \\
& + \frac{E_y}{4E} \left(-\frac{2E_{yy}}{E_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} + \frac{E_y}{E} \right) + \frac{C_\xi}{4E} \left(-\frac{2C_{\xi\xi}}{C_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} - \frac{A_\xi}{A} - \frac{B_\xi}{B} - \frac{D_\xi}{D} - \frac{F_\xi}{F} + \frac{C_\xi}{C} \right) \\
& + \frac{F_y}{4F} \left(-\frac{2F_{yy}}{F_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} + \frac{F_y}{F} \right) + \frac{C_\eta}{4F} \left(-\frac{2C_{\eta\eta}}{C_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} - \frac{A_\eta}{A} - \frac{B_\eta}{B} - \frac{D_\eta}{D} - \frac{E_\eta}{E} + \frac{C_\eta}{C} \right) \\
& \approx -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[-\Delta_3 C^1 + \left(A^1 + C^1 - B^1 - D^1 - \frac{E^1}{a^2} - \frac{F^1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} \right)_{yy} - \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} C^1 \right], \quad (\text{A4})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{33} \\
& = \frac{A_z}{4A} \left(-\frac{2A_{zz}}{A_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} + \frac{A_z}{A} \right) + \frac{D_t}{4c^2 A} \left(-\frac{2D_{tt}}{D_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} - \frac{B_t}{B} - \frac{C_t}{C} - \frac{E_t}{E} - \frac{F_t}{F} + \frac{D_t}{D} \right) \\
& + \frac{B_z}{4B} \left(-\frac{2B_{zz}}{B_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} + \frac{B_z}{B} \right) + \frac{D_x}{4B} \left(-\frac{2D_{xx}}{D_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} - \frac{A_x}{A} - \frac{C_x}{C} - \frac{E_x}{E} - \frac{F_x}{F} + \frac{D_x}{D} \right) \\
& + \frac{C_z}{4C} \left(-\frac{2C_{zz}}{C_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} + \frac{C_z}{C} \right) + \frac{D_y}{4C} \left(-\frac{2D_{yy}}{D_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} - \frac{A_y}{A} - \frac{B_y}{B} - \frac{E_y}{E} - \frac{F_y}{F} + \frac{D_y}{D} \right) \\
& + \frac{E_z}{4E} \left(-\frac{2E_{zz}}{E_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} + \frac{E_z}{E} \right) + \frac{D_\xi}{4E} \left(-\frac{2D_{\xi\xi}}{D_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} - \frac{A_\xi}{A} - \frac{B_\xi}{B} - \frac{C_\xi}{C} - \frac{F_\xi}{F} + \frac{D_\xi}{D} \right) \\
& + \frac{F_z}{4F} \left(-\frac{2F_{zz}}{F_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} + \frac{F_z}{F} \right) + \frac{D_\eta}{4F} \left(-\frac{2D_{\eta\eta}}{D_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} - \frac{A_\eta}{A} - \frac{B_\eta}{B} - \frac{C_\eta}{C} - \frac{E_\eta}{E} + \frac{D_\eta}{D} \right) \\
& \approx -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[-\Delta_3 D^1 + \left(A^1 + D^1 - B^1 - C^1 - \frac{E^1}{a^2} - \frac{F^1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} \right)_{zz} - \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta_{\xi\eta} D^1 \right]. \quad (\text{A5})
\end{aligned}$$

The components R_{44} and R_{55} read respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{44} \\
& = \frac{A_\xi}{4A} \left(-\frac{2A_{\xi\xi}}{A_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} + \frac{A_\xi}{A} \right) + \frac{E_t}{4c^2 A} \left(-\frac{2E_{tt}}{E_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} - \frac{B_t}{B} - \frac{C_t}{C} - \frac{D_t}{D} - \frac{F_t}{F} + \frac{E_t}{E} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{B_\xi}{4B} \left(-\frac{2B_{\xi\xi}}{B_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} + \frac{B_\xi}{B} \right) + \frac{E_x}{4B} \left(-\frac{2E_{xx}}{E_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} - \frac{A_x}{A} - \frac{C_x}{C} - \frac{D_x}{D} - \frac{F_x}{F} + \frac{E_x}{E} \right) \\
& + \frac{C_\xi}{4C} \left(-\frac{2C_{\xi\xi}}{C_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} + \frac{C_\xi}{C} \right) + \frac{E_y}{4C} \left(-\frac{2E_{yy}}{E_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} - \frac{A_y}{A} - \frac{B_y}{B} - \frac{D_y}{D} - \frac{F_y}{F} + \frac{E_y}{E} \right) \\
& + \frac{D_\xi}{4D} \left(-\frac{2D_{\xi\xi}}{D_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} + \frac{D_\xi}{D} \right) + \frac{E_z}{4D} \left(-\frac{2E_{zz}}{E_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} - \frac{A_z}{A} - \frac{B_z}{B} - \frac{C_z}{C} - \frac{F_z}{F} + \frac{E_z}{E} \right) \\
& + \frac{F_\xi}{4F} \left(-\frac{2F_{\xi\xi}}{F_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} + \frac{F_\xi}{F} \right) + \frac{E_\eta}{4F} \left(-\frac{2E_{\eta\eta}}{E_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} - \frac{A_\eta}{A} - \frac{B_\eta}{B} - \frac{C_\eta}{C} - \frac{D_\eta}{D} + \frac{E_\eta}{E} \right) \\
& \approx 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left\{ (A^1 - B^1 - C^1 - D^1)_{\xi\xi} - \Delta_3 E^1 - \frac{E_{\eta\eta}^1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} \left[\left(F_{\xi\xi}^1 - 2 \frac{\cos 2\xi}{\sin 2\xi} F_\xi^1 \right) - \frac{2}{\sin 2\xi} \left(F_\xi^1 - 2 \frac{\cos \xi}{\sin \xi} F^1 \right) - \frac{\sin 2\xi}{2} E_\xi^1 \right] \right\}, \quad (A6)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_{55} \\
& = \frac{A_\eta}{4A} \left(-\frac{2A_{\eta\eta}}{A_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} + \frac{A_\eta}{A} \right) + \frac{F_t}{4c^2 A} \left(-\frac{2F_{tt}}{F_t} + \frac{A_t}{A} - \frac{B_t}{B} - \frac{C_t}{C} - \frac{D_t}{D} - \frac{E_t}{E} + \frac{F_t}{F} \right) \\
& + \frac{B_\eta}{4B} \left(-\frac{2B_{\eta\eta}}{B_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} + \frac{B_\eta}{B} \right) + \frac{F_x}{4B} \left(-\frac{2F_{xx}}{F_x} + \frac{B_x}{B} - \frac{A_x}{A} - \frac{C_x}{C} - \frac{D_x}{D} - \frac{E_x}{E} + \frac{F_x}{F} \right) \\
& + \frac{C_\eta}{4C} \left(-\frac{2C_{\eta\eta}}{C_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} + \frac{C_\eta}{C} \right) + \frac{F_y}{4C} \left(-\frac{2F_{yy}}{F_y} + \frac{C_y}{C} - \frac{A_y}{A} - \frac{B_y}{B} - \frac{D_y}{D} - \frac{E_y}{E} + \frac{F_y}{F} \right) \\
& + \frac{D_\eta}{4D} \left(-\frac{2D_{\eta\eta}}{D_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} + \frac{D_\eta}{D} \right) + \frac{F_z}{4D} \left(-\frac{2F_{zz}}{F_z} + \frac{D_z}{D} - \frac{A_z}{A} - \frac{B_z}{B} - \frac{C_z}{C} - \frac{E_z}{E} + \frac{F_z}{F} \right) \\
& + \frac{E_\eta}{4E} \left(-\frac{2E_{\eta\eta}}{E_\eta} + \frac{F_\eta}{F} + \frac{E_\eta}{E} \right) + \frac{F_\xi}{4E} \left(-\frac{2F_{\xi\xi}}{F_\xi} + \frac{E_\xi}{E} - \frac{A_\xi}{A} - \frac{B_\xi}{B} - \frac{C_\xi}{C} - \frac{D_\xi}{D} + \frac{F_\xi}{F} \right) \\
& \approx \sin^2 \xi - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left\{ (A^1 - B^1 - C^1 - D^1)_{\eta\eta} - \Delta_3 F^1 - \frac{E_{\eta\eta}^1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{a^2} \left(F_{\xi\xi}^1 - 2 \frac{\cos 2\xi}{\sin 2\xi} F_\xi^1 \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\sin 2\xi}{2} \left(\frac{E_\xi^1}{a^2} + (A^1 - B^1 - C^1 - D^1)_\xi \right) + \frac{\cos \xi}{a^2 \sin \xi} \left(F_\xi^1 - 2 \frac{\cos \xi}{\sin \xi} F^1 \right) \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \xi} (F_\xi^1 - \sin 2\xi E^1) \right\}. \quad (A7)
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. Off-diagonal components

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{01} &= -\frac{1}{2C} C_{tx} - \frac{1}{2D} D_{tx} - \frac{1}{2E} E_{tx} - \frac{1}{2F} F_{tx} \\
&+ \frac{A_x C_t}{4AC} + \frac{A_x D_t}{4AD} + \frac{A_x E_t}{4AE} + \frac{A_x F_t}{4AF} + \frac{B_t C_x}{4BC} + \frac{B_t D_x}{4BD} \\
&+ \frac{B_t E_x}{4BE} + \frac{B_t F_x}{4BF} + \frac{C_t C_x}{4C^2} + \frac{D_t D_x}{4D^2} + \frac{E_t E_x}{4E^2} + \frac{F_t F_x}{4F^2}, \\
R_{02} &= -\frac{1}{2B} B_{ty} - \frac{1}{2D} D_{ty} - \frac{1}{2E} E_{ty} - \frac{1}{2F} F_{ty} \\
&+ \frac{A_y B_t}{4AB} + \frac{A_y D_t}{4AD} + \frac{A_y E_t}{4AE} + \frac{A_y F_t}{4AF} + \frac{C_t B_y}{4BC} + \frac{C_t D_y}{4CD}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{C_t E_y}{4CE} + \frac{C_t F_y}{4CF} + \frac{B_t B_y}{4B^2} + \frac{D_t D_y}{4D^2} + \frac{E_t E_y}{4E^2} + \frac{F_t F_y}{4F^2}, \\
 R_{03} = & -\frac{1}{2B}B_{tz} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{tz} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{tz} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{tz} \\
 & + \frac{A_z B_t}{4AB} + \frac{A_z C_t}{4AC} + \frac{A_z E_t}{4AE} + \frac{A_z F_t}{4AF} + \frac{D_t B_z}{4BD} + \frac{D_t C_z}{4CD} \\
 & + \frac{D_t E_z}{4DE} + \frac{D_t F_z}{4DF} + \frac{B_t B_z}{4B^2} + \frac{C_t C_z}{4C^2} + \frac{E_t E_z}{4E^2} + \frac{F_t F_z}{4F^2}, \\
 R_{04} = & -\frac{1}{2B}B_{t\xi} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{t\xi} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{t\xi} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{t\xi} \\
 & + \frac{A_\xi B_t}{4AB} + \frac{A_\xi C_t}{4AC} + \frac{A_\xi D_t}{4AD} + \frac{A_\xi F_t}{4AF} + \frac{E_t B_\xi}{4BE} + \frac{E_t C_\xi}{4EC} \\
 & + \frac{E_t D_\xi}{4ED} + \frac{E_t F_\xi}{4EF} + \frac{B_t B_\xi}{4B^2} + \frac{C_t C_\xi}{4C^2} + \frac{D_t D_\xi}{4D^2} + \frac{F_t F_\xi}{4F^2}, \\
 R_{05} = & -\frac{1}{2B}B_{t\eta} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{t\eta} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{t\eta} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{t\eta} \\
 & + \frac{A_\eta B_t}{4AB} + \frac{A_\eta C_t}{4AC} + \frac{A_\eta D_t}{4AD} + \frac{A_\eta E_t}{4AE} + \frac{F_t B_\eta}{4BF} + \frac{F_t C_\eta}{4FC} \\
 & + \frac{F_t D_\eta}{4FD} + \frac{F_t E_\eta}{4FE} + \frac{B_t B_\eta}{4B^2} + \frac{C_t C_\eta}{4C^2} + \frac{D_t D_\eta}{4D^2} + \frac{E_t E_\eta}{4E^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for the static metrics these components are identically equal to zero. Let us now calculate the remaining 10 off-diagonal components:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{12} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{xy} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{xy} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{xy} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{xy} \\
 & + \frac{B_y A_x}{4AB} + \frac{B_y D_x}{4BD} + \frac{B_y E_x}{4BE} + \frac{B_y F_x}{4BF} + \frac{C_x A_y}{4AC} + \frac{C_x D_y}{4CD} \\
 & + \frac{C_x E_y}{4CE} + \frac{C_x F_y}{4CF} + \frac{A_x A_y}{4A^2} + \frac{D_x D_y}{4D^2} + \frac{E_x E_y}{4E^2} + \frac{F_x F_y}{4F^2} \\
 \approx & \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}D^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi}F^1 \right)_{xy}, \tag{A8}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{13} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{xz} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{xz} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{xz} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{xz} \\
 & + \frac{B_z A_x}{4AB} + \frac{B_z C_x}{4BC} + \frac{B_z E_x}{4BE} + \frac{B_z F_x}{4BF} + \frac{D_x A_z}{4AD} + \frac{D_x C_z}{4CD} \\
 & + \frac{D_x E_z}{4DE} + \frac{D_x F_z}{4DF} + \frac{A_x A_z}{4A^2} + \frac{C_x C_z}{4C^2} + \frac{E_x E_z}{4E^2} + \frac{F_x F_z}{4F^2} \\
 \approx & \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}C^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi}F^1 \right)_{xz}, \tag{A9}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{23} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{yz} - \frac{1}{2B}B_{yz} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{yz} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{yz} \\
 & + \frac{C_z A_y}{4AC} + \frac{C_z B_y}{4BC} + \frac{C_z E_y}{4CE} + \frac{C_z F_y}{4CF} + \frac{D_y A_z}{4AD} + \frac{D_y B_z}{4BD} \\
 & + \frac{D_y E_z}{4DE} + \frac{D_y F_z}{4DF} + \frac{A_y A_z}{4A^2} + \frac{B_y B_z}{4B^2} + \frac{E_y E_z}{4E^2} + \frac{F_y F_z}{4F^2} \\
 \approx & \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}B^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi}F^1 \right)_{yz}, \tag{A10}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{15} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{x\eta} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{x\eta} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{x\eta} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{x\eta} \\
 & + \frac{B_\eta A_x}{4AB} + \frac{B_\eta C_x}{4BC} + \frac{B_\eta D_x}{4BD} + \frac{B_\eta E_x}{4BE} + \frac{F_x A_\eta}{4AF} + \frac{F_x C_\eta}{4FC} \\
 & + \frac{F_x D_\eta}{4FD} + \frac{F_x E_\eta}{4FE} + \frac{A_x A_\eta}{4A^2} + \frac{C_x C_\eta}{4C^2} + \frac{D_x D_\eta}{4D^2} + \frac{E_x E_\eta}{4E^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}C^1 + \frac{1}{2}D^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 \right)_{x\eta}, \tag{A11}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{25} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{y\eta} - \frac{1}{2B}B_{y\eta} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{y\eta} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{y\eta} \\
 & + \frac{C_\eta A_y}{4AC} + \frac{C_\eta B_y}{4BC} + \frac{C_\eta D_y}{4CD} + \frac{C_\eta E_y}{4CE} + \frac{F_y A_\eta}{4AF} + \frac{F_y B_\eta}{4BF} \\
 & + \frac{F_y D_\eta}{4FD} + \frac{F_y E_\eta}{4FE} + \frac{A_y A_\eta}{4A^2} + \frac{B_y B_\eta}{4B^2} + \frac{D_y D_\eta}{4D^2} + \frac{E_y E_\eta}{4E^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}B^1 + \frac{1}{2}D^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 \right)_{y\eta}, \tag{A12}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{35} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{z\eta} - \frac{1}{2B}B_{z\eta} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{z\eta} - \frac{1}{2E}E_{z\eta} \\
 & + \frac{D_\eta A_z}{4AD} + \frac{D_\eta B_z}{4BD} + \frac{D_\eta C_z}{4CD} + \frac{D_\eta E_z}{4ED} + \frac{F_z A_\eta}{4AF} + \frac{F_z B_\eta}{4BF} \\
 & + \frac{F_z C_\eta}{4CF} + \frac{F_z E_\eta}{4FE} + \frac{A_z A_\eta}{4A^2} + \frac{B_z B_\eta}{4B^2} + \frac{C_z C_\eta}{4C^2} + \frac{E_z E_\eta}{4E^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}B^1 + \frac{1}{2}C^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 \right)_{z\eta}, \tag{A13}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{14} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{x\xi} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{x\xi} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{x\xi} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{x\xi} \\
 & + \frac{B_\xi A_x}{4AB} + \frac{B_\xi C_x}{4BC} + \frac{B_\xi D_x}{4BD} + \frac{B_\xi F_x}{4BF} + \frac{E_x A_\xi}{4AE} + \frac{E_x C_\xi}{4EC} \\
 & + \frac{E_x D_\xi}{4ED} + \frac{E_x F_\xi}{4EF} + \frac{A_x A_\xi}{4A^2} + \frac{C_x C_\xi}{4C^2} + \frac{D_x D_\xi}{4D^2} + \frac{F_x F_\xi}{4F^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}(-A^1 + C^1 + D^1)_\xi + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin \xi} E^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 \right)_x, \tag{A14}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{24} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{y\xi} - \frac{1}{2B}B_{y\xi} - \frac{1}{2D}D_{y\xi} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{y\xi} \\
 & + \frac{C_\xi A_y}{4AC} + \frac{C_\xi B_y}{4BC} + \frac{C_\xi D_y}{4CD} + \frac{C_\xi F_y}{4CF} + \frac{E_y A_\xi}{4AE} + \frac{E_y B_\xi}{4BE} \\
 & + \frac{E_y D_\xi}{4ED} + \frac{E_y F_\xi}{4EF} + \frac{A_y A_\xi}{4A^2} + \frac{B_y B_\xi}{4B^2} + \frac{D_y D_\xi}{4D^2} + \frac{F_y F_\xi}{4F^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}(-A^1 + B^1 + D^1)_\xi + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin \xi} E^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 \right)_y, \tag{A15}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{34} = & -\frac{1}{2A}A_{z\xi} - \frac{1}{2B}B_{z\xi} - \frac{1}{2C}C_{z\xi} - \frac{1}{2F}F_{z\xi} \\
 & + \frac{D_\xi A_z}{4AD} + \frac{D_\xi B_z}{4BD} + \frac{D_\xi C_z}{4CD} + \frac{D_\xi F_z}{4FD} + \frac{E_z A_\xi}{4AE} + \frac{E_z B_\xi}{4BE}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{E_z C_\xi}{4CE} + \frac{E_z F_\xi}{4FE} + \frac{A_z A_\xi}{4A^2} + \frac{B_z B_\xi}{4B^2} + \frac{C_z C_\xi}{4C^2} + \frac{F_z F_\xi}{4F^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}(-A^1 + B^1 + C^1)_\xi + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin \xi} E^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{2a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 \right)_z, \quad (\text{A16})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{45} = & -\frac{1}{2A} A_{\xi\eta} - \frac{1}{2B} B_{\xi\eta} - \frac{1}{2C} C_{\xi\eta} - \frac{1}{2D} D_{\xi\eta} \\
 & + \frac{E_\eta A_\xi}{4AE} + \frac{E_\eta B_\xi}{4BE} + \frac{E_\eta C_\xi}{4CE} + \frac{E_\eta D_\xi}{4DE} + \frac{F_\xi A_\eta}{4AF} + \frac{F_\xi B_\eta}{4BF} \\
 & + \frac{F_\xi C_\eta}{4CF} + \frac{F_\xi D_\eta}{4FD} + \frac{A_\xi A_\eta}{4A^2} + \frac{B_\xi B_\eta}{4B^2} + \frac{C_\xi C_\eta}{4C^2} + \frac{D_\xi D_\eta}{4D^2} \\
 & \approx \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}(-A^1 + B^1 + C^1 + D^1)_\xi + \frac{\cos \xi}{2 \sin \xi} (A^1 - B^1 - C^1 - D^1) \right)_\eta. \quad (\text{A17})
 \end{aligned}$$

6. Appendix B: Relations between metric coefficients

First, we investigate expressions (A8)-(A10) in the case $R_{12} = R_{13} = R_{23} = 0$. It can be easily seen that the equation $R_{12} = 0$ has a solution

$$-\frac{1}{2}A^1 + \frac{1}{2}D^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2}E^1 + \frac{1}{2a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F^1 = C_1(z, \xi, \eta) f_1(x) + C_2(z, \xi, \eta) f_2(y),$$

where $C_1(z, \xi, \eta)$, $C_2(z, \xi, \eta)$, $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(y)$ are arbitrary functions. We also assume that in the limit $|x|, |y|, |z| \rightarrow +\infty$ the perturbed metrics reduces to the background one. Thus, all perturbations A^1, B^1, C^1, D^1, E^1 and F^1 as well as their partial derivatives vanish in this limit. Therefore, the right hand side of the above equation is equal to zero. Similar reasoning can be applied to equations $R_{13} = 0$ and $R_{23} = 0$. Then, we arrive at the following relations:

$$B^1 = C^1 = D^1 = A^1 - \frac{1}{a^2} E^1 - \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F^1. \quad (\text{B1})$$

We consider models where the Einstein equation for all off-diagonal components is reduced to

$$R_{ik} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq k. \quad (\text{B2})$$

We want to analyze these equations for components (A11)-(A17) with regard to the relations (B1).

First, it can be easily seen that Einstein equations (B2) for components (A14)-(A16) give

$$-A_\xi^1 + B_\xi^1 + C_\xi^1 + \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{a^2 \sin \xi} E^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 = C_3(\xi, \eta), \quad (\text{B3})$$

where $C_3(\xi, \eta)$ is an arbitrary function. From the boundary conditions at $|x|, |y|, |z| \rightarrow +\infty$ we find that $C_3(\xi, \eta) = 0$. Taking it into account, we get from (B1) and (B3) respectively

$$-A^1 + B^1 + \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F^1 = -\frac{1}{a^2} E^1 \quad (\text{B4})$$

and

$$-A_\xi^1 + B_\xi^1 + \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{a^2 \sin \xi} E^1 - \frac{\cos \xi}{a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 = -B_\xi^1. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Differentiating (B4) with respect to ξ , we obtain

$$-A_\xi^1 + B_\xi^1 + \frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F_\xi^1 - \frac{2 \cos \xi}{a^2 \sin^3 \xi} F^1 = -\frac{1}{a^2} E_\xi^1. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Subtraction (B6) from (B5) yields

$$\frac{1}{a^2 \sin^2 \xi} F^1 = -B_\xi^1 \tan \xi + \frac{1}{a^2} E_\xi^1 \tan \xi + \frac{1}{a^2} E^1. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Let us investigate two separate cases.

1. Smeared extra dimensions

First, we consider the matter source which is uniformly smeared over the internal space. It results in the metric coefficients A^1, B^1, C^1, D^1 and E^1 depending only on the external coordinates x, y and z [14]. We do not require that the diagonal Einstein equations have the form (2.12)-(2.15), but the off-diagonal components must be like (B2). Then, equations $R_{15} = R_{25} = R_{35} = R_{45} = 0$ (where these off-diagonal components are defined by (A11)-(A13),(A17)) are automatically satisfied. It can be easily seen from (B1) that the coefficient $F^1 \sim \sin^2 \xi$. Moreover, to satisfy the equation (B7), it should have the form

$$F^1 = E^1 \sin^2 \xi. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Therefore, (B1) can be rewritten in the form

$$-A^1 + B^1 + \frac{2}{a^2} E^1 = 0. \quad (\text{B9})$$

2. Arbitrary rest mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$

In this case, the rest mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}_5)$ of a compact astrophysical object is an arbitrary function of all five spatial coordinates. Here, as a particular example, the matter source can also be smeared over the internal space. However, the main difference from the previous case is that the diagonal Einstein equations should have the form (2.12)-(2.15). This leads to additional conditions

$$B^1 = C^1 = D^1 = \frac{1}{3} A^1, \quad (\text{B10})$$

which follows from equations (2.16), (2.17) and (B1). The case 1 is related to the models where (B1) is still valid but the relation $B^1 = A^1/3$ may be violated. Therefore, taking into account relations (B10), the Einstein equation $R_{45} = 0$ for (A17) is automatically satisfied. Let us consider equations (B2) for components (A14)-(A16). Substitution (B7) back into (B4) gives

$$-2B^1 + \frac{2}{a^2} E^1 = B_\xi^1 \tan \xi - \frac{1}{a^2} E_\xi^1 \tan \xi, \quad (\text{B11})$$

where we take into account the relation (B10). We seek the solution of this equation in the form

$$E^1 = a^2 B^1 + \tilde{E}^1, \quad 2\tilde{E}^1 = -\tilde{E}_\xi^1 \tan \xi. \quad (\text{B12})$$

The solution of the latter equation is $\tilde{E}^1 = C_4(\mathbf{r}_3, \eta)/\sin^2 \xi$, where $C_4(\mathbf{r}_3, \eta)$ is an arbitrary function. The function \tilde{E}^1 diverges when $\xi \rightarrow 0, \pi$. To avoid this problem, we require that $C_4(\mathbf{r}_3, \eta) = 0$. Thus, $\tilde{E}^1 = 0$ and $E^1 = a^2 B^1$. In turn, from the

equation (B7), we obtain: $F^1 = E^1 \sin^2 \xi = a^2 B^1 \sin^2 \xi$. Thus, perturbations of the metric coefficients are related as follows:

$$B^1 = C^1 = D^1 = A^1/3, \quad E^1 = a^2 B^1, \quad F^1 = E^1 \sin^2 \xi. \quad (\text{B13})$$

Therefore, the equality (B9) holds also in this case. Taking into account these relations, we can easily verify that Einstein equations (B2) for components (A11)-(A13) are automatically satisfied. Denoting $A^1 \equiv 2\varphi/c^2$, we can conclude that the perturbed metrics should have the following structure:

$$ds^2 \approx \left(1 + \frac{2\varphi}{c^2}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(-1 + \frac{2\varphi}{3c^2}\right) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) \\ + a^2 \left(-1 + \frac{2\varphi}{3c^2}\right) (d\xi^2 + \sin^2 \xi d\eta^2). \quad (\text{B14})$$

To complete this appendix (the case 2), we consider now 44 and 55 Ricci tensor components (A6) and (A7). With the help of relations (B13), it is not difficult to verify that

$$\sin^2 \xi \times R_{44} = R_{55}. \quad (\text{B15})$$

Moreover, the expression (A6) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$R_{44} \approx 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[\triangle_3 E^1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \triangle_{\xi\eta} E^1 \right] = 1 + \frac{a^2}{3} R_{00}. \quad (\text{B16})$$

Similarly, the components 11 and 22 are connected with the component 00 as follows:

$$R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{33} = \frac{1}{3} R_{00}. \quad (\text{B17})$$

References

- [1] Will C.M. 2000 *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [2] Straumann N. 1984 *General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics* (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag).
- [3] Bertotti B., Iess L. and Tortora P. 2003 *Nature* **425**, 374.
- [4] Will C.M. 2005 *Was Einstein Right? Testing Relativity at the Century*. In *100 Years of Relativity: Space-time Structure – Einstein and Beyond*, ed. Abhay Ashtekar, page 205. (Singapore: World Scientific); (arXiv:gr-qc/0504086).
- [5] Jain Bh. and Khoury J. *Cosmological Tests of Gravity* 2010; arXiv:astro-ph/1004.3294.
- [6] Clifton T., Ferreira P.G., Padilla A. and Skordis C. *Modified Gravity and Cosmology* 2011; arXiv:astro-ph/1106.2476.
- [7] Landau L.D. and Lifshitz E.M. 2000 *The Classical Theory of Fields, Fourth Edition: Volume 2 (Course of Theoretical Physics Series)* (Oxford: Pergamon Press).
- [8] Eingorn M. and Zhuk A. 2010 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 205014; arXiv:gr-qc/1003.5690.
- [9] Eingorn M. and Zhuk A. 2011 *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 044005; arXiv:gr-qc/1010.5740.
- [10] Eingorn M., de Medeiros O., Crispino L. and Zhuk A. *Latent solitons, black strings, black branes, and equations of state in Kaluza-Klein models.*, to be published in PRD 2011; arXiv:gr-qc/1101.3910.
- [11] Zhuk A. 2007 *Conventional cosmology from multidimensional models*. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Seminar on High Energy Physics "QUARKS-2006"*. (Moscow: INR Press); arXiv:hep-th/0609126.
- [12] Günther U. and Zhuk A. 1997 *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 6391; arXiv:gr-qc/9706050.
- [13] Günther U., Starobinsky A. and Zhuk A. 2004 *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 044003; arXiv:hep-ph/0306191.
- [14] Eingorn M. and Zhuk A. 2010 *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 055002; arXiv:gr-qc/0910.3507.
- [15] Kehagias A. and Sfetsos K. 2000 *Phys. Lett. B* **472**, 39; arXiv:hep-ph/9905417.